#### REMARKS

## I. Introduction

In response to the Office Action dated April 19, 2007, claim 11 has been amended. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12, 14, and 15 remain in the application. Re-examination and re-consideration of the application is requested.

## II. Stanutory Subject Matter Rejections

In paragraph (2) of the Office Action, claims 11, 12, 14 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicants' attorney has amended claims 11 to overcome these rejections.

# III. Double Patenting Rejection

In paragraph (2) of the Office Action, claims 1-15 were provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unparentable over claims 1, 5 and 7-8 of co-pending Application No. 09/939,813 respectively.

Applicants' attorney submits herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the rejection.

#### IV. Conclusion

In view of the above, it is submitted that this application is now in good order for allowance and such allowance is respectfully solicited.

Should the Examiner believe minor matters still remain that can be resolved in a telephone interview, the Examiner is urged to call Applicants' undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

GATES & COOPER LLP Attorneys for Applicants

Name: George H. Gates

Reg. No.: 33,500

Howard Hughes Center 6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1050 Los Angeles, California 90045 (310) 641-8797

Date: May 31, 2007

GHG/

G&C 30571.265-US-01